



One-Way versus Two-Way Coupling in Reservoir-Geomechanical Models

Jean H. Prevost

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton, USA

Received on 3rd January 2014; Accepted for publication on 3rd January 2014

Procedures to couple reservoir and geomechanical models are reviewed. The focus is on immiscible compressible non-compositional reservoir - geomechanical models. Such models require the solution to: coupled stress, pressure, saturation and temperature equations. Although the couplings between saturation and temperature with stress and fluid pressure are “weak” and can be adequately captured thru staggered (fixed point) iterations, the couplings between stress and pressure equations are “strong” and require special procedures for accurate integration. Issues related to both simultaneous integration and sequential integration of pressure and stress equations are investigated. It is shown that simultaneous integration can be achieved by computing the contribution to the coupled Jacobian matrix thru finite differencing of the residual equations. Forming the Jacobian matrix can also be avoided thru a partitioned CG iterative solution procedure of the Schur complement matrix. Iterative sequential integration (fixed point iterations) schemes of pressure and stress equations are then discussed, and detailed algorithms are provided. Assessment of the accuracy of such iterative procedures is made thru comparison with available exact analytical solutions for the half-space consolidation (McName and Gibson [1960]). The iterative sequential procedure (one-way coupling iteration) is shown to require an unreasonably large number of iterations (>50) to capture accurately coupling effects. We conclude that two-way coupling (i.e., simultaneous integration) of pressure and stress equations is required if poromechanical effects are to be captured accurately.

In our work we typically use a Galerkin implementation of both pressure and stress equations with equal order interpolant for both pressure and solid displacements. However, most (if not all) reservoir simulators use a finite volume implementation of the pressure equation. Therefore, we also address issues related to the interface between a Galerkin vertex-centered Geomechanical model with a Reservoir finite volume implementation of the pressure equation by studying the interface with both a cell-centered and a vertex-centered (often referred to as CVFEM in the Petroleum Engineering literature) finite volume implementation of the pressure equation. The elemental contribution to the Jacobian matrix is again computed through numerical finite differencing of the residuals. We show that poromechanical effects can be captured accurately with a finite volume implementation in both 2D and 3D structured and unstructured meshes.

Finally, the coupling effects in a field case are investigated: CO₂ injection at In Salah, Algeria where CO₂ is injected at a temperature (50 dgC) below the resident brine reservoir (90 dgC)



1st International Symposium on

Energy **C**hallenges & **M**echanics

8-10 July 2014
Aberdeen, Scotland, UK

temperature. Surface uplift displacements and induced tensile stresses in the caprock computed with both a fully two-way coupled scheme versus a one-way scheme are compared.

Keywords: coupling; geomechanics; reservoir; finite elements